

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/035,214	01/04/2002	Andrew Baker	53394.000548	3478	
56679 7	590 01/24/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
GOSZ AND PARTNERS, LLP 450 BEDFORD STREET			ANDERSON, CATHARINE L		
LEXINGTON, MA 02420			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
·			3761		

DATE MAILED: 01/24/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Sp	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/035,214	BAKER, ANDREW	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	C. Lynne Anderson	3761	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet wi	h the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stal Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tute, cause the application to become AB.	CATION.  Apply be timely filed  Output  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28	October 2005		
	nis action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow		ers, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice unde	•	• •	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☑ Claim(s) 1-19 and 34-59 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5) ☑ Claim(s) 10,11 and 34 is/are allowed.  6) ☑ Claim(s) 1-9 and 12-19 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner		
<u> </u>	ccepted or b)□ objected to I	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.	•
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ents have been received. ents have been received in A riority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage	·
Attachment(s)			
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/28/05.</li> </ol>	Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413) )/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152) 	٠

Art Unit: 3761

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Response to Arguments

The applicant's arguments filed 28 October 2005 have been considered but are not persuasive.

In response to the applicant's argument that Peschl fails to disclose a shuttle pan having an outlet edge offset in the machine direction from the feed tray inlet, it is noted that the shuttle pan of Peschl comprises a plurality of outlets, all of which are offset in the machine direction from their respective inlets, as shown in figure 6.

It is noted that the instant claim does not define the distance by which the inlet and outlet of the feed tray are offset, nor does it disclose the configuration of the inlet and outlet of the feed tray. Therefore, the inlets and outlets of the feed tray disclosed by Peschl fulfill the limitations of the claim.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-9 and 12-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hansen (5,807,364) in view of Peschl (3,973,703).

Art Unit: 3761

Hansen discloses all aspects of the claimed invention but remains silent as to the portion of the apparatus that deposits the particulate matter. Hansen discloses an apparatus for depositing particulate matter 84 into a supply of fibrous material 32, as shown in figure 2. The particulate matter 84 is deposited from a device 72 having an outlet positioned above the supply of fibrous material 32, as shown in figure 2. Hansen discloses in column 9, lines 8-10, that any suitable device for depositing the particulate matter may be used.

Peschl discloses an apparatus for depositing particulate matter, as shown in figure 1, comprising a feed tray 7 having an open top providing an inlet for receiving a supply of particulate matter from outlet funnel 1. A shuttle pan 2 is slideably positioned to form the lower pan of the feed tray 7, as shown in figure 5. A mechanism 6 moves the shuttle pan 2 through a range of motion comprising strokes, as disclosed in column 2, lines 46-68. The shuttle pan 2 comprises a plurality of outlets that are offset in the machine direction from their respective inlets, as shown in figure 6. The apparatus taught by Peschl allows the discharge of particulate matter in an efficient manner, as disclosed in column 1, lines 58-64.

It would therefore be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to construct the apparatus of Hansen with the feed tray and shuttle pan of Peschl to allow the discharge of particulate matter in an efficient manner.

With respect to claim 2, the particulate matter comprises superabsorbent polymer, as disclosed in column 8, lines 52-56.

Art Unit: 3761

With respect to claim 3, the fibrous substrate material comprises cellulose acetate, as disclosed in column 11, lines 54-60.

With respect to claim 4, Peschl discloses the shuttle pan 2 comprises the lower pan of the feed tray 7, as shown in figures 5 and 6.

With respect to claim 5, the fibrous substrate material is conveyed by a conveying mechanism 32, as shown in figure 2, which is offset from the outlet edge distributing the particulate matter.

With respect to claims 6-8, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to place the outlet of the dispensing apparatus between 0.375 and 1 inch from the fibrous substrate material since it has been held that where the general conditions of the claim (i.e. the dispensing of particulate matter into a fibrous substrate material on a conveying mechanism), finding the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Allen*. 105 USPQ 233.

With respect to claim 9, when the shuttle pan of Peschl is placed above the conveying mechanism of Hansen, the shuttle pan will be parallel to the conveying mechanism.

With respect to claims 12-14, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to make the range of motion comprise a stroke distance of 6 and 9 inches since it has been held that where the general conditions of the claim (i.e. the shuttle pan moving in stroke range of motion to distribute particulate matter), finding the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Allen*. 105 USPQ 233.

Art Unit: 3761

With respect to claim 15, the mechanism 6 is mounted on the feed tray 7, as disclosed in column 4, lines 57-58, and therefore the feed tray 7 is vibratory.

With respect to claim 16, the feed tray 7 is fixed to the funnel 1.

With respect to claim 17-19, Peschl further discloses a metered flow device, as described in column 1, lines 11-16, but remains silent as to the method of metering the flow into the shuttle pan. Auger-type and weight-based metering devices are well-known in the art for allowing flow of particulate matter from a hopper during dispensing. It would therefore be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to construct the apparatus of Peschl with an auger-type of weight-based metering device to provide control over the particulate matter as it is dispensed.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 10-11 and 34-59 are allowed.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The prior art of record fails to disclose the claimed invention.

With respect to claim 10, positioning the shuttle pan so that it is not parallel to the conveying mechanism to create varying offset distances allows the particulate matter to be dispensed in varying concentrations, as described on page 64 of the instant specification. With respect to claim 11, the use of a combining drum allows the production to operate at higher speeds, and therefore be more productive, as described in the paragraph bridging pages 52 and 53 of the instant specification.

Art Unit: 3761

#### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to C. Lynne Anderson whose telephone number is (571) 272-4932. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tanya Zalukaeva can be reached on (571) 272-1115.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit: 3761

CVA

January 18, 2006

TATYANA ZALUKAEVA SUPERVISORY PRIMARY EXAMINER

.